

THEMATIC ROLES AND EVENT STRUCTURE IN TAGALOG

Jean-Michel Fortis

CNRS Research Unit UMR 7597

Université Paris 8, Bureau 817 - Tour Centrale

2 place Jussieu — 75251 Paris, France

jm.fortis@wanadoo.fr

A. Introduction

(1) **Sumulat** ka ng pangalan mo sa aklat.
 AV1.INF.write 2SG.NOM GEN name 2SG.GEN DLP book
 ‘Write your name in the book.’

(2) **Sulat-in** mo ang pangalan mo sa aklat.
 write-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM name 2SG.GEN DLP book
 [lit.] ‘Let your name be written by you in the book.’

(3) **I-sulat** mo ang pangalan mo sa aklat.
 OV2.INF-write 2SG.GEN NOM name 2SG.GEN DLP book
 [lit.] ‘Let your name be written by you in the book.’

(4) **Sulat-an** mo ng pangalan mo ang aklat.
 write-LV.INF 2SG.GEN GEN name 2SG.GEN NOM book
 [lit.] ‘Let the book be the writing place of your name.’

B. Active voices : *mag-* and *-um-* verbs

Semantic contrasts between mag- and -um- verbs

Meaning of mag-	Base	Base + -um-	Base + mag-
1. causative	akyat ‘ascension’ alis ‘departure’ bili ‘purchase’ labas ‘outside, release, exit’ tayo ‘site, position’	umakyat ‘climb (intr.)’ umalis ‘leave’ bumili ‘buy’ lumabas ‘go out (intr.)’ tumayo ‘stand up’	magakyat ‘take up (tr.)’ magalis ‘remove’ magbili ‘sell’ maglabas ‘take out (tr.)’ magtayo ‘put up’
2. episodic	ahit ‘shave’ sukat ‘measure’	umahit ‘shave (someone else)’ sumukat ‘have as a measurement’	magahit ‘shave (oneself)’ magsukat ‘measure (tr.), try on (clothes)’
3. imperfective	init ‘heat’ ingay ‘noise’ saya ‘joy’	uminit ‘become hot’ umingay ‘become noisy’ sumaya ‘become joyful’	maginit ‘be hot, angry’ magingay ‘be noisy’ magsaya ‘be joyful, have fun’
4. intensive	basa ‘reading material’ kain ‘eat’ tawa ‘laughter’	bumasa ‘read’ kumain ‘eat’ tumawa ‘laugh’	magbasa ‘read much, frequently’ magkain ‘eat much, frequently’ magtawa ‘to burst out laughing’

5. iterative	basa 'reading material'	bumasa 'read'	magbasa 'read much, frequently'
	sulat 'writing, letter'	sumulat 'write'	magsulat 'write a lot, frequently'
	tapak 'step'	tumapak 'step on'	magtapak 'walk barefoot'

Mag- verbs refer to events conceptualized as complex (as having a complex event structure): cf. 1, 4, 5. Episodic verbs (2) refer to bounded complex processes which involve the replication of the process designated by the base.

An event may be conceptualized as complex if the causing subevent diverges from the caused subevent. Cf. Langacker's analysis of complex processes as profiling subtrajectories; Langacker, 1987, 268-9; *-um-* verbs *do not* profile subtrajectories. It is therefore expected that verbs of ballistic motions would require *mag-*, and this is indeed the case (for example *mag-hagis*, 'throw', *mag-bato* 'throw a stone at', *mag-pukol* 'hurl something at'). Cf. also causative verbs.

Magbili / bumili: since diverging subtrajectories make an event appear as complex, it is the selling action that will receive the prefix marking complex events, *pag-*. Converging subtrajectories, on the other hand, are associated with *-um-* (cf. *bumili* 'buy').

3: verbs of this class refer to the process of entering a state and of maintaining this state. Cf. also *umisip / magisip*. Also with *-an* verbs: *sandalan / pagsandalan*.

C. Underived non-active voices focus on the subevent involving the argument in subject position

• Compare:

(5) Tinalbug-an niya ng bola ang pader
 PERF.bounce-LV 3SG.GEN GEN ball NOM wall
 'The ball bounced on the wall.'

(6) Tumalbog ang bola sa pader
 AV1.PERF.bounce NOM ball DLP wall
 'The ball bounced on/to the wall.'

• *pag-* generally disappears when a non-active voice is used: e.g. *magluto* 'cook' has two non-active forms which take the thing cooked as subject, namely *lutuín* and *iluto* ('be cooked'), and none is used with *pag-*. This fact can be readily accounted for if we consider that these non-active forms describe an event that is bounded by the change of state of the thing that is being cooked. Thus, *lutuín* characterizes the embedded event, whereas the embedding event corresponds to the whole activity the actor is engaged in. Because these passive forms refer to a change of state which has a simple event structure, they do not require *pag-*.

• *pag-* prefixes to events in which more than one theme / patient is implicated, for instance in reciprocal verbs (*pagdikítin* 'be stuck with one another', *pagagapayín* 'be put side by side'), or causative verbs which introduce another causer (*pagaglinisín* 'be caused by someone to be cleaned by someone else', where *pa-* is a causative prefix).

• a locative *pag-...-an* form takes as subject a **permanent frame**: a locative actant whose participation in the process is not bounded by the subevent referred to in the sentence.

(7) Sinulat-an ko ang papel.
 PERF.write-LV 1SG.GEN NOM paper
 'I wrote on the paper.'

(8) *Ang desk na ito ang sinulat-an ko.
 NOM desk LNK this NOM PERF.write-LV 1SG.GEN.
 'It is on this desk that I wrote.'

(9) Angdesk na ito ang pinag-sulat-an ko
 NOM desk LNK this NOM pag.PERF.write-LV 1SG.GEN.
 'It is on this desk that I wrote.'

Ex. from Schachter and Otnes (1972 : 315):

(10) Hina-halu-an ni Rosa ng asukal ang kape sa

Climb-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM tree
'Climb up the tree.'

(22) Akyat-an mo ang puno.
Climb-LV.INF 2SG.GEN NOM tree
'Climb on the tree.'

Tenny (1994 : 95) : **measuring argument** as an argument « which (...) either undergoes some internal change or motion, along a single parameter ; or provides a scale or parameter without undergoing change or motion ; that measures out and defines the temporal extent of the event. » If a measuring argument is exhaustively « consumed » or completely traversed, a *B-in* form is required.

• To accommodate the case of *pinanhik*, this notion is extended to goals and generalized in the following way :

Maximal state : final state of an actant in a completed event.

Maximal activity : type of activity of an actor when it brings to completion the change of state of another actant.

If the activity of the actor is brought to completion by the transition of another actant to a state that is of a maximal type, both actants are in a **cotransitive relation**. An actant in a cotransitive relation to an actor is a cotransitive actant. Cf. :

(23) Alis-an mo ang silid
leave-LV.INF 2SG.GEN NOM room

'Go away from the room.' [actor's activity is not brought to completion by a state of the room ; *umalis* and *alisan* differ in this respect]

(24) Pasuk-in mo ang silid.
enter-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM room
'Enter the room.'

(25) *Pasuk-an mo ang silid.
leave-LV.INF 2SG.GEN NOM room
'Enter the room.'

• Alternative conceptualization : cf. *nilundag* / *nilundagan*

E. Incorporated actors

(26) Nila-langgam ang silid.
OV1.IMP-ant NOM room
'The room is infested with ants.' [lit. 'the room is « anted »']

langgam is an actor incorporated into the verb semantic structure (cf. Jackendoff, 1990, for an analysis of incorporated arguments within semantic structures). Because the room changes to a maximal state (being infested) as soon as ants can be characterized as being in the activity designated by the verb, and keeps being infested as long as ants are in it, the room can be marked as cotransitive. Cf. also *anay*, 'termite', and *langaw* 'fly' which yield the *B-in* forms *anayin* 'to be infested with termites' and *langawin* 'to be infested with flies', *antukin* ('be sleepy'), *bangungotin* ('have a nightmare'), *alikalabukin* ('get covered with dust').

F. Continuous transitions

(27) Pa-haba-in mo ang talumpati.
CAUS-lengthen-OV1 2SG.GEN NOM speech
[lit.] 'Let your speech be lengthened by you.'

(28) Haba-an mo ang mesa.
lengthen-LV 2SG.GEN NOM table
[lit.] 'Let the table be lengthened by you.'

(29) ?? Haba-in mo ang mesa.

lengthen-LV 2SG.GEN NOM table
 [lit.] ‘Let the table be lengthened by you.’

G. Valency and voice alternations

(30) Pasuk-*in* mo ang museo.
 Enter-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM museum
 ‘Enter the museum.’

(31) Pa-pasuk-*an* mo sa mga bisita ang
 CAUS-enter-LV.INF 2SG.GEN DAT PLM visitors NOM

museo.
 museum
 ‘Show the visitors into the museum.’

(32) Pinanhik ko si Juan.
 PERF.OV1.go up 1SG.GEN PNM PN
 ‘I went up and saw Juan.’

(33) Pinanhik-*an* ko ng tubig si Juan.
 PERF.go up-LV 1SG.GEN LNK water PNM PN
 ‘I went up and brought Juan some water.’

Whenever an actor initiates a maximal state in an actant which implies that another actant changes first to a maximal state, the former will be associated with a *B/S-an* form.

I define as a **terminus** (*a quo* or *ad quem*) any actant which complies with this last condition.

Problem:

(34) Binatuk-*an* ko siya.
 PERF.hit on head-LV 1SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘I hit her / him on the head.’

H. Exceptions

Surprisingly, some verbs accept *-in* in contexts where *-an* forms would be expected. The goals of the throwing actions appear as subjects of *B-in* forms in (36) and (37) (although in (37) *hagisan* is acceptable).

(35) Pukul-*in* mo siya ng bato.
 Throw-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM GEN stone
 ‘Hurl a stone at her / him.’

(36) *Pukul-*an* mo siya ng bato.
 Throw-LV.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM GEN stone
 ‘Hurl a stone at her / him.’

(37) Hagi-*in* mo siya ng bato.
 Throw-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM GEN stone
 ‘Throw a stone to her / him.’

This promotion of a goal to the subject position of a *B-in* form is clearly forbidden for other verbs that refer to the transfer of an object, for instance *hilahin* ‘to pull’ :

(38) *Hila-*hin* mo siya ng kahon.
 Pull-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM GEN box
 ‘Pull the box to her / him.’

(39) Hila-*hin* mo ang kahon sa kanya.

Pull-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM box 3SG.DAT
 ‘Pull the box to her / him.’

(40) *Sabi-*hin* mo siya...
 Say-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘*Say her / him...’

(41) Sabi-*han* mo siya...
 Say-LV.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘Say to her / him...’

(42) Tanung-*in* mo siya...
 Ask-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘Ask her / him...’

(43) *Tanung-*an* mo siya...
 Ask-LV.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘Ask her / him...’

(44) Aluk-*in* mo siya...
 Offer-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘Offer her / him...’ [from *alok* ‘(an) offer, (a) bid’]

(45) *Aluk-*an* mo siya...
 Offer-LV.INF 2SG.GEN 3SG.NOM
 ‘Offer her / him...’

The *i-* voice will often be the default choice for actions involving transfer of objects, informations, property etc. This is true for Tagalog equivalents of alternating English verbs such as ‘hand’, ‘sell’, ‘pay’, ‘serve’, ‘feed’ or ‘send’, which do not take goals as subjects of *B-in* forms. The Tagalog counterparts for other English alternating verbs (such as ‘lend’, or ‘feed’) bear a causative prefix, ‘lend’ being equivalent to ‘make someone borrow’ and ‘feed’ to ‘make someone eat’. This causative form triggers the use of *-in* anyway, since in these cases the first actor (*mo* ‘you (gen.)’) is in a cotransitive relation to a secondary actor (*ako* ‘I’):

(46) Pa-*hiram-in* mo ako ng kotse
 CAUS-borrow-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN 1SG.NOM GEN car

mo.
 2SG.GEN
 ‘Lend me your car.’

I. Transferred actants

(47) I-*ligtas* mo sila sa sunog.
 OV2.INF-save 2SG.GEN 3PL.NOM DLP fire
 ‘Save them from the fire.’

(48) I-*ligtas* mo sila sa kasalanan.
 OV2.INF-save 2SG.GEN 3PL.NOM DLP sin
 ‘Save them from sin.’

The *termini ad quem* of the processes (respectively, *kahon* ‘box’ and *botelya* ‘bottle’) are sometimes incorporated into the semantic structure of the verb, as for the English verbs *shelve* or *bottle* (cf. Jackendoff, 1990).

(49) I-*kahon* mo ang mga aklat.
 OV2.INF-box 2SG.GEN NOM PLM book
 ‘Put the books into a box.’

(50) I-botelya mo ang lambanog.
 OV2.INF-bottle 2SG.GEN NOM coconut wine
 ‘Bottle the coconut wine.’

J. Abstract transfers

(51) I-lakad mo ang bagong sapatos mo !
 OV2-walk 2SG.GEN NOM new shoe 2SG.GEN
 ‘Use your new shoes for walking.’

Since *i-B* forms do not accept a subject that is interpretable as a terminus but only as an actant transferred to a terminus, the subject of *ikahon* is barred from an instrumental interpretation. If the subject were interpreted as an instrument, it would coincide with the base, which is a terminus ; but an *i-B* form does not license a subject that is a terminus. Thus, (52) is infelicitous in the instrumental interpretation :

(52) * I-kahon mo ang karton.
 OV2.INF-box 2SG.GEN NOM carboard box
 ‘Use the carboard box as a box.’

On such occasions, the instrumental interpretation must be marked by the complex affix *ipang-* :

(53) I-pan-kahon mo ang karton.
 OV2-DEST-box 2SG.GEN NOM cardboard box
 ‘Use the carboard box as a box.’

The use of *i-* can be extended to *information transfers*, as in (54) :

(54) I-pag-tapat mo ang katotohanan.
 OV2.INF-pag-confess 2SG.GEN NOM truth
 ‘Confess the truth.’

K. Contrasts between *i-*, *-in* and *-an* forms

- *i-* seems to be used when a transition to a maximal state cannot be repeated, whereas *-in* indicates that maximal states can be repeatedly obtained, that is to say as long as the actor’s activity is maximal.

(55) I-tuwid mo ang balikat mo.
 OV2.INF-straight 2SG.GEN NOM shoulder 2SG.GEN
 ‘Straighten your shoulders.’

(56) * Tuwir-in mo ang balikat mo.
 Straight-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM shoulder 2SG.GEN
 Id.

(57) I-baluktot mo ang alambre.
 OV2.INF-bend 2SG.GEN NOM wire
 ‘Bend the wire.’

(58) Baluktut-in mo ang alambre.
 Bend-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM wire
 ‘Bend the wire.’

- When a base occurs both with *i-* and *-in* affixes, the *i-B* variant induces, whenever possible, a reading in which the subject refers to an actant transferred to a figurative or concrete location.

(59) I-ligpit mo ang mesa.
 OV2.INF-put away 2SG.GEN NOM table
 ‘Put away the table.’

(60) Ligpit-in mo ang mesa.
Put away-OV1.INF 2SG.GEN NOM table

‘Clear the table away.’

• progressive attainment of a final state, the base predicate holds of the subject argument at intermediate stages: *-an* in *buksan* ‘open’ (a door is more and more open) vs *i-* in *isara* ‘close’ (a door cannot be said to be more and more closed; *i-* indexes a terminal state that is out of phase with the beginning of the actor’s maximal activity). However, such stages are not cotransitive (maximal states are not continuously reached), hence **buksin*: usually, ‘open the door’ hints at a canonical position of the door, i.e. one state is considered as fully accomplishing the process.

References

Jackendoff, Ray

1990 *Semantic Structures*. Cambridge, MA : The M.I.T. Press.

Krifka, Manfred

2001 Lexical representations and the nature of the dative alternation. Paper presented at the DIP Colloquium, Amsterdam.

Kroeger, Paul

1993 *Phrase Structure and Grammatical Relations in Tagalog*. Stanford, CA : CLSI Publications.

1998 Nouns and verbs in Tagalog: a reply to Foley. Paper presented at the 3rd LFG Conference, Brisbane.

Langacker, Ronald W.

1987 *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, volume I: Theoretical Prerequisites*. Stanford, CA : Stanford University Press.

1991 *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, volume II: Descriptive Application*. Stanford, CA : Stanford University Press.

Latrouite, Anja & Naumann, Ralf

1999 On the interpretation of Tagalog voice affixes. In *Proceedings of the Austronesian Formal Language Association VI*, Toronto.

2000 An interpretation of the voice-affix /i-/ in Tagalog. In Klammer, M. (ed.), *Proceedings of the Austronesian Formal Linguistics Association*, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 101-20.

Lemaréchal, Alain

1989 *Les Parties du Discours*. Paris : Presses Universitaires de France.

1991 Dérivation et orientation dans les langues des Philippines. *Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris* 86/1, 317-58.

Pinker, Steven

1989 *Learnability and Cognition: the Acquisition of Argument Structure*. Cambridge, MA : The M.I.T. Press.

Schachter, Paul & Otnes, Fe T.

1972 *Tagalog Reference Grammar*. Berkeley - Los Angeles - London : University of California Press.

Tenny, Carol L.

1994 *Aspectual Roles and the Syntax-Semantics Interface*. Dordrecht - Boston - London : Kluwer Academic Publishers.